## CS 278: Computational Complexity Theory Lecture Notes - September 4, 2025

Lecturer: Lijie Chen

## Fall 2025

**Definition 1** (Non-deterministic time with bounded guess). For a function  $T, G : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , we define NTIMEGUESS[T(n), G(n)] to be the class of languages that can be decided by a non-deterministic multi-tape Turing machine in time O(T(n)) with making at most G(n) non-deterministic guesses.

- That is,  $L \in NTIMEGUESS[T(n), G(n)]$  if there exists a non-deterministic multi-tape Turing machine M and a constant c such that:
  - M decides L (i.e., M accepts x if and only if  $x \in L$ )
  - For all inputs x of length n, M halts within  $c \cdot T(n)$  steps and makes at most G(n) non-deterministic guesses.

**Theorem 2** (Non-deterministic time hierarchy theorem with bounded guess). Let  $T, G, W : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be time-constructible functions such that G(n) = o(T(n)) and W(n) = o(n). Then there is a language  $L \in NTIME[T(n)]$  but L is almost-everywhere separated from NTIMEGUESS[G(n), W(n)].

*Proof.* Let M be a machine from NTIMEGUESS[G(n), W(n)]. That is, there is a deterministic machine  $D_M$  that takes  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  and  $w \in \{0,1\}^{W(n)}$ , runs in O(G(n)) time such that M(x) = 1 if and only if  $D_M(x, w) = 1$  for some  $w \in \{0,1\}^{W(n)}$ . Here we call w the witness.

For  $n \geq 10 \cdot |\langle M \rangle|$  and  $w \in \{0,1\}^{n/10}$ , we define  $\langle M, w \rangle_n$  to be an encoding of the pair  $\langle M \rangle$  (the description of M) and w (the witness), such that  $\langle M, w \rangle_n \in \{0,1\}^n$ .

For a  $M \in \text{NTIMEGUESS}[G(n), W(n)]$ , we will construct a hard language  $H \in \text{NTIME}[T(n)]$  such that for every  $n \geq 10 \cdot |\langle M \rangle|$ , it holds that M does not compute H on inputs of length n.

Let  $w_1, \ldots, w_{2^{n/10}}$  be a sequence of all possible strings of length n/10. For simplicity, we assume  $D_M$  takes exactly n/10 bits as witness.

We define H as follows:

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} M(\langle M, w_{i+1} \rangle_n) \wedge [D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0] & \text{if } x = \langle M, w_i \rangle_n \text{ for some } i \in [1, 2^{n/10}) \\ [D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_{2^{n/10}}) = 0] & \text{if } x = \langle M, w_{2^{n/10}} \rangle_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $[D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0]$  means we treat  $D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0$  as a proposition,  $[D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0]$  is true if " $D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0$ " holds.

Note that  $H \in \text{NTIME}[T(n)]$  since G(n) = o(T(n)).

Now, for the sake of contradiction, suppose M computes H on all n-bit inputs. Then for every  $i \in [1, 2^{n/10})$ , we have

$$H(\langle M, w_i \rangle_n) = H(\langle M, w_{i+1} \rangle_n) \wedge [D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0]$$

and also

$$H(\langle M, w_{2^{n/10}} \rangle_n) = [D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_{2^{n/10}}) = 0]$$

Chaining the above together, we have

$$H(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{2^{n/10}} [D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0]$$

Reading the right side above, it is true if and only if  $D_M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n, w_i) = 0$  for all  $i \in [1, 2^{n/10}]$ , which means M rejects the input  $\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n$ .

Therefore, we have  $H(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n) = \neg M(\langle M, w_1 \rangle_n)$ , which contradicts the assumption that M computes H on all n-bit inputs.